

From: Jon Epstein <epstein@ecohealthalliance.org>
To: Jonna Mazet <jkmazet@ucdavis.edu>
CC: Elizabeth Leasure <ealeasure@ucdavis.edu>; Christine Kreuder Johnson <ckjohnson@ucdavis.edu>; Tracey Goldstein <tgoldstein@ucdavis.edu>; Anthony, Simon J. <sja2127@cumc.columbia.edu>; Brian Bird <bhbird@ucdavis.edu>; Peter Daszak <daszak@ecohealthalliance.org>; Billy Karesh <karesh@ecohealthalliance.org>; Alice Latinne <latinne@ecohealthalliance.org>; Corina Monagin <[REDACTED]>; David J Wolking <djwolking@ucdavis.edu>
Sent: 5/25/2017 7:50:30 AM
Subject: Re: Urgent: follow up on EHP funding

Wow - well done guys! Great news and thank you!!
-Jon

On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 10:42 AM, Jonna Mazet <jkmazet@ucdavis.edu> wrote:
Woohoo -- best you can get as far as a (tentative) commitment out of USAID.
Huge thanks to Liz Leasure for her diligent and quality work to make this happen!!!
Have a good day,
Jonna

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jonna Mazet** <jkmazet@ucdavis.edu>
Date: Thu, May 25, 2017 at 7:40 AM
Subject: Re: Urgent: follow up on EHP funding
To: Andrew Clements <aclements@usaid.gov>
Cc: Elizabeth Leasure <ealeasure@ucdavis.edu>, David John Wolking <djwolking@ucdavis.edu>, Alisa Pereira <apereira@usaid.gov>, Shana Gillette <sgillette@usaid.gov>, Amalhin Shek <ashek@usaid.gov>

Thanks, Andrew -- that is wonderful news. All caveats received and understood.
We really appreciate your hard work to get us this far. It will be very reassuring (but not promising) for the teams to also fully understand this message.
Very motivating,
Jonna

On Thu, May 25, 2017 at 7:37 AM, Andrew Clements <aclements@usaid.gov> wrote:
Thanks, Liz and Jonna.

Today's discussion went well. No issues with what you provided or how much funding you need for EHP in the future.

I will send you an email in the next day or two with some additional guidance on the EHP funding and how it should be tracked and reporting on (starting with the \$4 M) separately from the other Ebola funds.

Regarding the uncertainty of future funding for EHP and Predict's concern of over promising/under delivering for host governments, I heard in today's discussion that **IF** (1) there is a demonstration that EHP funding is being expended and (2) there is a demonstration that EHP results are being delivered as planned and (3) there is available funding, it is USAID's intent (but not promise or guarantee) to provide the additional \$7.9 million for EHP. In other words, it's the same as for regular budget funds that are obligated on an annual basis.

All for now.

Andrew

*Andrew P. Clements, Ph.D.
Senior Scientific Adviser*

*Emerging Threats Division/Office of Infectious Diseases/Bureau for Global Health
U.S. Agency for International Development
Mobile phone: 1-571-345-4253
Email: aclements@usaid.gov*

On May 25, 2017, at 1:15 AM, Elizabeth Leasure <caleasure@ucdavis.edu> wrote:

Hi Andrew. The answers to your questions are included in the spreadsheet attached. Let us know if further clarification is needed.

Thanks,

Liz

Elizabeth Leasure

One Health Institute

University of California, Davis

530-754-9034 (office)

 (cell)

From: Andrew Clements [<mailto:aclements@usaid.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 23, 2017 9:02 AM
To: Jonna Mazet; Elizabeth Leasure; David John Wolking
Cc: Alisa Pereira; Shana Gillette; Amalhin Shek
Subject: Urgent: follow up on EHP funding

Hi all,

I need your help with some financial information. We had a chat about EHP funding today and the feeling is that Predict will neither get all the remaining money at one time nor any guarantee of getting all the EHP money. The budget office is intent on metering out the money based on expenditures rates as is the standard USAID procedure for providing incremental funding (despite that fact that you got the 49.2 M in one chunk).

So, our only real way forward is to provide our budget office with the following information:

* how much money has been expended to date for EHP in each of the 3 countries? (Please provide the date you used as the cut-off, e.g. \$X spent through Y, 2017. Don't worry about providing the most up to date data. Go with whatever is the most current you already have in hand.)

* how much money is remaining for EHP in each of the 3 countries? (Please provide the date you used as the

cut-off, e.g. \$X remaining as of Y, 2017.)

* what is the estimated monthly or quarterly burn rate (assume full level of implementation) for EHP implementation in each of the countries over the next 6-12 months?

* what is the estimated amount of funding needed for EHP implementation in each of the 3 countries (assume full level of implementation) for (a) Jun 1, 2017 through Dec 31, 2017 and (b) Jun 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. (Please use the estimated burn rates above.)

We have an internal USAID follow up discussion planned for Thursday morning DC time, so it would be much appreciated if you could provide the requested information by 3:00 PM EDT on Wednesday.

If you have any questions, please let me know. I would be happy to explain further/clarify by phone if that would be useful.

Lastly, I apologize for any duplication of effort this may cause related to financial information you have previously provided. I also apologize for this being such a drawn-out process. Hopefully, this information will allow us to get past the bottleneck for at least 7-12 months.

Andrew

Andrew P. Clements, Ph.D.

Senior Scientific Adviser

Emerging Threats Division/Office of Infectious Diseases/Bureau for Global Health

U.S. Agency for International Development

Mobile phone: 1-571-345-4253

Email: aclements@usaid.gov

--

Jonathan H. Epstein DVM, MPH, PhD

Vice President for Science and Outreach

EcoHealth Alliance
460 West 34th Street – 17th floor
New York, NY 10001

1.212.380.4467 (direct)
[REDACTED] (mobile)

web: ecohealthalliance.org

Twitter:

-
EcoHealth Alliance leads cutting-edge research into the critical connections between human and wildlife health and delicate ecosystems. With this science we develop solutions that promote conservation and prevent pandemics.